(4) The reason is that they are not important thoroughfares having connection with roads outside the Municipality.

Mr. P. Krishna Menon.—Do the four miles and the seven furlongs mentioned in sub-division (1) take in the roads mentioned in sub-division (4) ?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—No.

Mr. P. Krishna Menon.—Are not the roads mentioned in (4) principal thoroughfares having connections with roads outside the Municipality ?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—They are not important thoroughfares with outside connections.

Mr. P. Krishna Menon.—Are they not principal thoroughfares having connections with roads outside the Municipality? Are there subsidiary roads to this?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—They may be the principal roads, but they have no connection with important roads outside the Municipality.

Kotekat Primary School.

630. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the population of Kuttur and Attur Villages of Trichur Taluk;

(b) the present strength of the Kotekat Primary School;

(c) which is the highest class taught in the school;

(d) what is the accommodation area of the school;

(e) what is the accommodation area allowed by the rules per pupil ?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—

	Males.	Females.	Total.
(a) Kuttur	1,395	1,518	2,913

Attur—no such village in the Trichur Taluk.

If however the Member refers to Attur in the Talappalli Taluk the figures are 824 934 1,758.

(b) There is no school named Kotekat Primary School.

(c) and (d) The questions do not arise.

(e) No conditions are laid down in the State Education Code as regards the minimum accommodation area per pupil.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—May I know the name of the village adjoining Trichur? Is there any village as Ottur?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—It is a mistake.

Eroor Road.

631. Mr. P. Raman Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(a) whether the Government is in receipt of a petition, dated 6th Makaram 1107 from the inhabitants of Eroor and the neighbouring parts with reference to immediate necessity for opening the above road;

(b) what action has been taken on the petition?

Mr. P. V. Raphael.—(a) Yes.

(b) It is engaging the attention of the Government.

Selling agent—Products—Government Potteries.

632. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

1. whether anybody has been appointed as the selling agent of the products of the Government Potteries at Chalakkudi;
2. if so, who and for what period and on what terms;
3. were any tenders called for the said agency;
4. if so. the names of the tenderers;
5. if not, why no tenders were called for?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—1. Yes.

2. Mr. A. H. Rahimutulla of Bombay for Bombay and Hyderabad. No period has been fixed.

He is to be paid a commission of 7½ per cent on sales. Until december 1931 or until he secures big orders, his actual expenses will be paid.

3, 4 and 5. No tenders were called for, but enquiries were made of different parties likely to take up the agency and Mr. Rahimutulla's offer appeared most acceptable to Government.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—He is to be paid a commission of 7½ per cent until December 1931. Now we are in 1932. Is he paid a commission now?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—They have not yet given any order for the potteries and so there was no necessity to give this commission up till now.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Does he continue to be the selling agent?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—According to the understanding he is not the sales agent now.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—No tenders were called for. May I know whether any inquiry was made to parties in Cochin?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—We inquired of people who, we thought, would be able to market these products, *e. g.*, Messrs. Best & Co. and some of the European firms in Cochin and some firms in Bombay. They were not in a position to market these, that was their reply.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly